



Karen Byrne <kbyrne@cincinnatirighttolife.org>

Feedback via the Judicial Candidate Survey 2020 [#85]

1 message

EmailMeForm <burst@emailmeform.com>

Fri, Jan 24, 2020 at 2:05 PM

Reply-To: burst@emailmeform.com

To: kbyrne@cincinnatirighttolife.org

Check that you have read and understand the following: Surveys may be submitted by mail, email, or online. Upon submission, this survey will be posted to our website and published in the CRTL News Bulletin as public information. We will redact your contact information before posting to our website. The completed survey is required for endorsement consideration. Direct questions to KByrne@CincinnatiRighttoLife.org

Name*: Judge John Williams

Phone*: 513-598-8857

Email: keepjohnwilliamsjudge@gmail.com

Web Site: judgejohnwilliams.com

Facebook URL: Keep John Williams Judge

Position and District Sought*: Hamilton County Juvenile Court Judge

Are you an incumbent for this office?*: YES

Have you previously held an elected position?*: YES

: Hamilton County Juvenile Court - elected 2012, 2014

: Right to Life (2010, 2012, 2014)

FOP Ohio Valley Lodge #112 (2010, 2012, 2014)

FOP Queen City Lodge #69 (2010, 2012, 2014)

Hamilton County GOP (2010, 2011, 2012, 2014)

Cincinnati Firefighters' Union, Local 48 (2014)

: 1. Provide quality services for the positive development, care and safety of children and work to preserve the family unit.
2. Provide for the safety of the community I am elected to serve.

1. Which former U.S. president's political philosophy best matches your own?: Ronald Reagan

Comments - Question 1:

2. Which U.S. Supreme Court Justice's legal philosophy best matches your own?: Other (please specify)

Comments - Question 2: William Rehnquist

3. Rate your judicial philosophy regarding the U.S. Constitution on a scale of 1-10 with "living/evolving document" being 1 and "strict constructionist" being a 10: 9

Comments - Question 3:

4. In *Roe v. Wade*, 410 U.S. 113 (1973), the U.S. Supreme Court recognized a “right to privacy” under the Constitution that includes abortion. The Constitution does not include this right.: Agree

Comments - Question 4:

5. Judges should refrain from “legislating from the bench.” The translation of public policy into law and the creation, extension, modification or limitation of citizens’ rights (and governmental authority) are properly within the province of the legislative, not judicial, branch of government.: Agree

Comments - Question 5:

6. An unborn child is biologically human at every stage of his or her biological development, beginning at fertilization.: Agree

Comments - Question 6:

7. The law should be applied to pro-life demonstrators in the same manner as is applied to environmental, anti-war and labor demonstrators.: Agree

Comments - Question 7:

8. There is no provision in the current Ohio Constitution intended to require the use of public funds for abortion.: Agree

Comments - Question 8:

9. The judiciary does not possess the authority to expand the definition of marriage to include anything but one man and one woman.:

Comments - Question 9: See Article XV, Sec. 11 of the Ohio Constitution. Pursuant to ORC 3101.01 et. seq., jurisdiction vests with the Juvenile Court regarding consent to marriage of minors in certain circumstances. Ethics rules prevent further comment due to pending or impending litigation.

10. The law protects individuals’ and organizations’ rights of conscience from being forced to participate in abortion or other practices contrary to their moral or religious convictions.:

Comments - Question 10: See Article I, Sec. 21 of the Ohio Constitution. Pursuant to 2919.121 and 2151.85, jurisdiction vests with Juvenile Court to decide motions for judicial bypass for minors. Ethics rules prevent further comment due to pending or impending litigation.

11. Laws, regulations, or ordinances that restrict individuals’ and organizations’ rights of conscience are unconstitutional.:

Comments - Question 11: See Article I, Sec. 7 of the Ohio Constitution. Ethics rules prevent further comment due to pending or impending litigation.

12. Laws that require businesses or religious organizations to work for or provide services to gay, lesbian, bisexual and transgender persons despite these entities’ religious or moral objections are unconstitutional.:

Comments - Question 12: See above responses to Questions 9-11. Ethics rules prevent further comment due to pending or impending litigation.

13. List all organizations to which you have contributed time or money in the past four years.: St. Mary Parish School
Archdiocese of Cincinnati - Archbishop Fund
St. Xavier High School
The Society of St. Vincent de Paul
Xavier University
Hamilton County GOP