

**From:** EmailMeForm burst@emailmeform.com  
**Subject:** Feedback via the Judicial Candidate Survey 2018 [#45]  
**Date:** March 1, 2018 at 1:06 PM  
**To:** kbyrne@cincinnatirighttolife.org



Check that you have read and understand the following\*: Surveys may be submitted by mail, fax, email, or online. Upon submission, this survey will be posted to our website and published in the CRTL News Bulletin as public information. We will redact your contact information before posting to our website. The completed survey is required for endorsement consideration. Direct questions to [KByrne@CincinnatiRighttoLife.org](mailto:KByrne@CincinnatiRighttoLife.org)

Name\*: Mr. Victor Haddad

Phone\*: [REDACTED]

Email:

Web Site:

Facebook URL:

Position and District Sought\*: Clermont County Court of Common Pleas - General Division

Are you an incumbent for this office?\*: YES

Have you previously held an elected position?\*: YES

: Clermont County Municipal Court, 2000 - 2007; Clermont County Court of Common Pleas General Division, 2007 - current

: Clermont County Republican Party at present

: 1) Ensuring that the decisions I make are logical, fair, accord with precedent, and accord with common sense; and 2) attempting to help people through some of the most difficult times of their lives with the use of incapacitation, deterrence, rehabilitation and redemption, while, at the same time, protecting the citizens of Clermont County.

1. Which former U.S. president's political philosophy best matches your own?: Ronald Reagan

Comments - Question 1:

2. Which U.S. Supreme Court Justice's legal philosophy best matches your own?: the late Justice Scalia

Comments - Question 2:

3. Rate your judicial philosophy regarding the U.S. Constitution on a scale of 1-10 with "living/evolving document" being 1 and "strict constructionist" being a 10:: 9

Comments - Question 3:

4. In Roe v. Wade, 410 U.S. 113 (1973), the U.S. Supreme Court recognized a "right to privacy" under the Constitution that includes abortion. The Constitution does not include this right.: Agree

Comments - Question 4: I am required, however, under the Supremacy Clause of the United States Constitution and my Oath of Office, to follow all legal precedent of a superior court. See Article VI, Clause 2.

5. Judges should refrain from "legislating from the bench." The translation of public policy into law and the creation extension

translation of public policy into law and the creation, extension, modification or limitation of citizens' rights (and governmental authority) are properly within the province of the legislative, not judicial, branch of government.: Agree

Comments - Question 5:

6. An unborn child is biologically human at every stage of his or her biological development, beginning at fertilization.: Agree

Comments - Question 6: I am required, however, under the Supremacy Clause of the U.S. Constitution and my Oath of Office, to follow all legal precedent of a superior court.

7. The law should be applied to pro-life demonstrators in the same manner as is applied to environmental, anti-war and labor demonstrators.: Agree

Comments - Question 7:

8. There is no provision in the current Ohio Constitution intended to require the use of public funds for abortion.: Agree

Comments - Question 8: I am not aware of any Constitutional provision in Ohio's Constitution. However, as previously discussed above, I am always mindful that I am required to follow all legal precedent of a superior court.

9. The judiciary does not possess the authority to expand the definition of marriage to include anything but one man and one woman.: Agree

Comments - Question 9: I am required, however, as previously discussed above, to follow all legal precedent of a superior court.

10. The law protects individuals' and organizations' rights of conscience from being forced to participate in abortion or other practices contrary to their moral or religious convictions.: Agree

Comments - Question 10: I am required, however, as previously discussed above, to follow all legal precedent of a superior court.

11. Laws, regulations, or ordinances that restrict individuals' and organizations' rights of conscience are unconstitutional.:

Comments - Question 11: I believe that I am prohibited by the Code of Judicial Conduct, Canon 2.10, from providing an answer to this question.

12. Laws that require businesses or religious organizations to work for or provide services to gay, lesbian, bisexual and transgender persons despite these entities' religious or moral objections are unconstitutional.:

Comments - Question 12: I believe that I am prohibited by the Code of Judicial Conduct, Canon 2.10, from providing an answer to this question.

13. List all organizations to which you have contributed time or money in the past four years.: As a Judge since 2000, I strive to avoid creating any appearance of impropriety in all cases that may come before me. Thus, for the most part, I have not recently contributed time or donated money to any organizations that one could suggest disrupts this delicate balance.

